

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,976	10/16/2003	Mark Russell Attieh	0073/65994	8950
Richard FJawo	7590 05/17/2007	EXAMINER		
Cooper & Dunham 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			WINTER, JOHN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
140W 101K, 141	10030		3621	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/937,976	ATTIEH, MARK RUSSELL				
omeo neuen cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAII ING DATE of this communication ann	John M. Winter	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was prepared to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Fe)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 February 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 52-66 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 52-66 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 09/937,976

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Status

Claims 52-66 are pending.

Response to Arguments

The Applicants arguments filed on February 20, 2007 have been fully considered. The amended claims are rejected in view of the newly discovered reference Heindel et al. (US Patent 6,304,857) in view of Randle et al. (US Patent 5,974,146). See following rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 52 - 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heindel et al. (US Patent 6,304,857) in view of Randle et al. (US Patent 5,974,146).

As per claim 52,

Heindel et al. ('857) discloses a method for conducting financial transactions comprising: providing an intermediate database (Figure 1, element 40) providing an intermediate database computer for controlling the intermediate database, (Figure 1)

linking a first electronic address or a first telephone number of a first communication device to a first account number within the intermediate database, with the computer being in communication with a communication network and also being in communication with at least one database containing details of a first account which has a first account number and also containing details of a second account which has a second account number (Column 7, lines 16-50 – figure 3)

receiving from the first communication device via the communication network, the first electronic address or first telephone number of the first communication device, and also receiving a second electronic address or second telephone number instead of the second account number, and further also receiving an amount to be paid from the first account (Column 8, lines 23-39)

Heindel et al. ('857) does not explicitly disclose communicating a signal to interrogate the first account to determine whether sufficient funds are available in the first account to effect payment, when it is determined that sufficient funds are available, communicating a signal to

Application/Control Number: 09/937,976

Art Unit: 3621

-

debit the first account to the second account. Randle ('146) discloses communicating a signal to interrogate the first account to determine whether sufficient funds are available in the first account to effect payment, when it is determined that sufficient funds are available, communicating a signal to debit the first account to the second account (column 10, lines 31-41) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Heindel et al. ('857)'s system with Randle ('146)'s teaching in order to limit the prosecution of fraudulent transactions.

Claims 60, 61 and 62 are in parallel with claim 52 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

As per claim 53,

Heindel et al. ('857) discloses the method of claim 52

Heindel et al. ('857) does not explicitly disclose conducting the financial transaction on a real time, on-line basis. Randle ('146) discloses conducting the financial transaction on a real time, on-line basis. (column 7, lines 10-20) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Heindel et al. ('857)'s system with Randle ('146)'s teaching in order to provide an efficient service to the customer.

As per claim 54,

Heindel et al. ('857) discloses the method of claim 52

wherein the first account number is a :first bank account number, the first account is a first bank account, the second account number is a second bank account number, and the second account is a second bank account.(Column 7, lines 16-50 – figure 3)

As per claim 55,

Heindel et al. ('857) discloses the method of claim 52

further comprising linking the second electronic address or the second telephone number to the second account number in the intermediate database. (Column 7, lines 16-50 – figure 3)

As per claim 56,

Heindel et al. ('857) discloses the method of claim 52

Official Notice is taken that "wherein the second telephone number is the telephone number of a second communication device" is common and well known in prior art in reference to electronic transactions. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a telephone number as a device identifier in order to uniquely identify a client.

As per claim 57,

Heindel et al. ('857) discloses the method of claim 52

Official Notice is taken that "the first communication device is a cellular telephone." is common and well known in prior art in reference to electronic transactions. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a cellular phone in order o create a mobile platform for initiating transactions.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,976

Art Unit: 3621

As per claim 58,

Heindel et al. ('857) discloses the method of claim 52

Claim 58 (Previously Presented): The method of claim 52 further comprising communicating the :fact of the transaction to the first communication device.(Column 7, lines 16-50 - figure 3)

As per claim 59,

Heindel et al. ('857) discloses the method of claim 56

communicating the fact of the transaction to the second communication device. (Column 7, lines 16-50 - figure 3)

As per claim 63,

Heindel et al. ('857) discloses a method for conducting financial transactions according to claim 52,

Heindel et al. ('857) does not explicitly disclose canceling the transaction when it is determined that sufficient funds are not available. Randle ('146) discloses canceling the transaction when it is determined that sufficient funds are not available. (column 10, lines 31-41) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Heindel et al. ('857)'s system with Randle ('146)'s teaching in order to limit the prosecution of fraudulent transactions.

Claims 64-66 are in parallel with claim 63 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Winter whose telephone number is (571) 272-6713. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached on (571) 272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John Winter

Patent Examiner -- 3621

ANDREW J. FISCHER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600